

# Preparing for Global Innovation Award Presented by Disney Regional Nomination Judging

## **Project to Global Innovation Award Rubric Comparison**

| nstrate everything at the lev<br>ea, put an 'X' in the first box   | skill area, clearly mark the box that best describes the team's accomplishments. Teams should rate everything at the level; if they are missing part, mark the level below. If the team does not demonstrate put an X' in the first box for Not Demonstrated (ND). Please provide as many written comments as you car widedge each team's hard work and to help teams improve. Use the back for additional comments if needed. |   | DM<br>. Teams should<br>does not demonstrate<br>comments as you can     |  | GLOBAL INI  | NOVATION AWARD  |   |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| red for Award Consideration<br>Beginning   | Developing   | Accomplished  | Exemplary   | Team:  | Global Innovati   | ion Award Rubric  |   |
|  | Clear definition of the problem bei  | ing studied   |   | Submission Name  | Α.  |   |   |
| N<br>D unclear; few details  | partially clear; details missing   | mostly clear, detailed                                  | clear, very detailed  |  | e box that best describes the tea   | am's accomplishments.   |   |
| Sources of Information   | Quality and variety of data/evidend<br>Sources of Information and F  |   | Der we quality and variety.   | Beginning  | Developing  | Accomplished  | Exemplary   |
| D variety limited  | variety limited evaluated. We expect that you use the work you have imultiple professionals  |   |   |  | Clear definition of the problem   | n being studied   |   |
| Problem Analysis   | done in these areas to help yo<br>categ  |   | ation including extent  | unclear; few details   | partially clear; details missing  | mostly clear; detailed  | clear, very detailed  |
| N<br>B minimāl study; no analysis  | 5  | sufficient study and analysis                           | extensive study and analysis  |  | Degree to which the team's so<br>developing a new application<br>new way                        | olution makes life better by im<br>of existing ideas, or solving t  | proving existing option<br>he problem in a comple                                 |
|  |  |   |   | existing solution/application  | solution/application contains<br>some original element(s);<br>potential added value             | original solution/application;<br>potential added value   | original solution/applicati<br>demonstrated added val                             |
| Team Solution*   |  |   |   | 1  | Use of a systematic process to<br>considered and narrowed, the<br>of process solutions assessed | e chosen solution is evaluated<br>d   | l and improved, feasibil  |
| Team Solution no longer evaluated. You advanced, so know you have an amazing solution!  Innovation Degree to which the team's solution makes life better by improving existing options, developing |  |   |   | process AND explanation<br>need improvement  | process OR explanation need<br>improvement  | systematic and well-explained,<br>including evaluation or<br>verification   | process uses evaluation<br>verification across multip<br>steps                    |
|  | Degree to which the team's solution n<br>a new application of existing ideas, or   |   |   |  | etc.)   | mplementation (such as cost,  |   |
| N existing solution/application<br>Solution Development  | n solution/application contains<br>some original element(s)<br>Systematic process used to select, de   | original solution/application;<br>potential added value | original solution/application;<br>demonstrated added value              | minimal factors considered<br>idea not feasible  | some factors considered; idea<br>may be feasible  | factors well considered; some<br>question about proposed<br>solution  | factors well considered a<br>feasibility confirmed b<br>professionals in the fiel |
|  | (Implementation could include cost, ea   |   | systematic process included<br>evaluation; implementation<br>considered | Motivation to<br>Implement<br>(check if demonstrated)  | reality; OP consultation wit<br>business, marketing, desig                                      | tion to implement (clear idea<br>th a professional for advice be<br>gn, etc.; OR demonstrates stro<br>problem improve with this sol | eyond production, such  |
|  | Degree to which the team shared<br>might benefit from the team's effor<br>shared outside family ( triands 5  | orts  | shared with multiple audiences<br>who may benefit OR multiple           | Comments:<br>Please provide 1-2 commen<br>about this idea and one thin<br>them positive and constructi | ng you think the team can improv  | Please write one comment de<br>comments will be provided t  |   |
| Creativity N minimally engaging OR U unimaginative   |  |   | professionals   |  |   | Innov   | N* to Global<br>vation Award<br>ıbric only  |
| Presentation Effectivene   | Hessage delivery and organ   | anization of the presentation                           |   |  |   |   |   |
| N<br>D unClear OR disorganized   | cadially class scienced  | mostly clear; mostly organized                          | clear AND well organized  |  |   |   |   |
|  | Comments   |   |   |  |   |   |   |
| Great Job Think a  |  |   |   |  |   |   |   |

### What is the same?

- Problem Identification and Innovation categories from the Innovation Project rubric remain *exactly the same* on the Global Innovation Award Rubric.
- Solution Development appears, but with some differences in the overall description and with the accomplished and exemplary expectations.

#### What is different?

- \*NEW\* category Implementation; considering implementation has been unblended from Solution Development and becomes its own focus area. This is the 'how would I make it real' story that your team should be able to articulate. You may have already done some of this work under the Research category on the Project rubric. If you consulted experts, did they help confirm the theoretical or actual feasibility of making your solution a reality? Notice to be ranked exemplary, feasibility should be confirmed by a professional in the field. What resources would your Innovation Project need?
- **Solution Development** is considered carefully—this is the 'how' story of your team's Innovative Solution development journey. As your team made decisions and improvements after they had their original idea, what processes or evaluative tools/frameworks did they apply? A team should be able to share how they verified their choices about their Innovation Project.
- \*NEW\* Motivation to Implement is considered as a yes/no and used only as a deliberative tool to help if team rankings are extremely close. Does your team truly want to keep working on their Project and receive a nomination for the Global Innovation Award? We want to advance teams who are passionate as well as exemplary across all areas. This is not a fully rated criteria. A team that does not demonstrate motivation could rank lower than a team who, if ranked equally across all other categories, does demonstrate motivation.

#### How else should I prepare for Global Innovation Award regional nominination juding?

- Your team will NOT be judged on the style of your presentation. Even so, it is always a good idea to present your ideas in a fun and engaging way so that the judges are excited to learn more.
- We recommend all judges end their Q&A session with the same question: *Is there anything else you'd like to tell us about your Project?* This will allow teams an opportunity to ensure they have covered all the important points they wish the judges to understand about their Project. Check with your tournament's judge advisor the day of the event to see if this best practice will be in place.
- Have your team think about:
  - Did they achieve accomplished or developing in the Problem Identification or Innovation categories at an earlier event? If there is room for improvement, ask your team what more they could do to push themselves. For example:
    - Is their problem specific? Can they articulate the who and why of it clearly?
    - In the Innovation category, notice that to really shine, teams should concretely show their solution *demonstrates value* rather than just has potential value. In what way can your team show the value is irrefutably present? How can they tell the 'WE KNOW IT WORKS/WILL WORK' story? Can your team state how their innovation solves their problem in a new way?